

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/824,593	04/15/2004	Raymond Pratt	109536.159WO1	6645
24395 75	395 7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
THE WILLARD OFFICE BUILDING 1455 PENNSYLVANIA AVE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20004			,,,
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/824,593	PRATT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ite, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)		(070 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4-15-04.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of IDS dated 4-15-04 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-20 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35

U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this

Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rupreht et al (Anesthesiology, 1983).

Instant claim is directed to a method of treating substance abuse in a patient in need thereof comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a cholinesterase inhibitor. Rupreht et al studied nitric oxide withdrawal syndrome (substance abuse) in mice to examine factors the role in post-anesthetic excitation. Rupreht discloses that cholinesterase inhibitors, physiostigmine and galanthamine or with opiate receptor blocking agent (naloxone) significantly reduced the predisposition to nitrous oxide withdrawal convulsions. Thus, Rupreht et al anticipates instant claimed method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 4,895,841 to Sugimoto et al (Sugimoto) in view of Arendt et al (Acta Neurobiol Exp, abstract) or Rupreht et al in view of Hurlbut (Emerg Med Clin North Am) and Sugimoto.

Instant claims are directed to a method of treating substance abuse in a patient in need of comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of choline esterase inhibitor compounds possessing the claimed structure.

Sugimoto teaches the compounds of the instant claims (col. 2-3, in particular the compounds of col. 3), as also admitted in the instant specification (page 14, lines 11-12). Sugimoto teaches that the compounds described have a strong and highly selective anti-cholinesterase activity, increasing the amount of

Art Unit: 1615

acetylcholine, exhibiting an excellent effect on a model with represent to disturbance of memory, and having a persistent activity and a high safety when compared with physiostigmine which is a conventional drug in the art (col. 1). Sugimoto teaches the compounds as useful for treating diseases such as Alzheimer's, Pick's Disease, ataxias etc. While Sugimoto does not specifically teach the compounds for treating the claimed substance abuse, Sugimoto teaches that the compounds are useful in the treatment of diseases or conditions that are thought to be associated with deficiency of acetylcholine (col. 1).

Rupreht et al, discussed above, studied nitric oxide withdrawal syndrome (substance abuse) in mice to examine factors the role in post-anesthetic excitation. Rupreht teaches that cholinesterase inhibitors, physiostigmine and galanthamine or with opiate receptor blocking agent (naloxone) significantly reduced the predisposition to nitrous oxide withdrawal convulsions.

Hurlbut teaches that major drug-induced psychoses are due to drugs such as cocaine, amphetamines, phencyclidine, cannabinoids, anti-cholinergic compounds etc. Hurlbut teaches that in addition to supportive measures, reassurances, and benzodiazepine, specific antidotes such as physiostigmine for anti-cholinergic poisoning or urinary acidification to enhance excretion of amphetamines or phencyclidine in some patients. Neither Hurlbut nor Rupreht teach the claimed compounds. However, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the acetylcholine esterase inhibitors of Sugimoto for treating drug induced withdrawal (Rupreht et al) or toxicity induced by drugs such as cocaine, amphetamines etc.,

Art Unit: 1615

because Sugimoto teaches that the claimed compounds are more effective than the conventionally used physiostigmine and other conventional anticholinesterase inhibitors. Alternatively, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the compounds of Sugimoto for treating drug withdrawal symptoms or the toxicity, acidification and poisoning due to drugs such as cocaine, amphetamine, phencyclidine etc because Rupreht suggests treating drug withdrawal syndrome effectively with cholinesterase inhibitors and Hurlbut suggests treating the drug induced psychoses and the related poisoning and urine acidification with cholinesterase inhibitors. Thus, a skilled artisan would have exhibited to reduce the drug withdrawal symptoms as well as the associated poisoning with the cholinesterase inhibiting compounds of Sugimoto. Further, optimizing the amounts of compounds and administering by appropriate routes are within the scope of a skilled artisan because Sugimoto suggests various dosages and routes of administration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Instant claim recites individual compounds (cocaine, amphetamine etc.) as well as groups of compounds identified by function (hallucinogen, psychedelic agent etc.) as substance abuse. However, the list constitutes an improper markush group.

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM - 6.30 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner Art Unit 1615 April 27, 2005